PUBLIC MEETING

Vice Chairman Elliot Benton called meeting to order at 7:05 p.m. in the Town Hall Meeting Room on the first floor of Greenwich Town Hall.

Agency Session

Seating of alternates

Vice Chairman Elliot Benton seated Jay Schondorf.

Review and approval of draft minutes of May 18, 2015

A motion to accept the draft minutes of May 18, 2015 without correction was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 5-0-0.

Director’s Report

Following is the monthly summary report that Patricia Sesto distributed to the Agency via email at the end of last week. Ms. Sesto highlighted her appreciation for the help the Agency staff has provided in her first weeks and her work to examine the regulations and practices of the Agency with fresh, but knowledgeable eyes. The main goals are to increase the user friendliness of certain portions of the regulations and application checklist, and to ensure practices of the Agency and staff protect the work of the members. Ms. Sesto also asked members to ruminate on the perception the “35’ setback” gives to prospective applicants. The expectation that the upland review area should be protected to the greatest extend reasonable in the absence of justification may be undermined by the 35’ setback.

Additionally, Sesto relayed the intent of the RTM to consider the appointment of an alternate member this September. And lastly, the department’s budget will likely end the year in a neutral position, having received sufficient fees to cover costs.
Agent Approvals

The Agency was provided six legal notices for projects approved by authorized agents since the last regularly scheduled meeting. No Agency questions were presented. No questions or public comments were received. No action was required.

Compliance Report

Doreen Carroll-Andrews referred to the Compliance Summary sheet that was distributed in the meeting packets, which included a breakdown of bond releases (7 requested, 11 initiated by Staff, 10 released), and deadlines set by the Agency that are upcoming or have passed. 39 Orchard Street was issued a Stop Work Order which was resolved with staff. 7 Grove Street and 656 River Road were issued Stop Work Orders and 13 Center Drive and 6 Meadowcroft Road were issued Violations, all of which appear under the Violation section of the Agenda.

Staff also confirmed that an intern, Emily Efstration, a graduate of Sacred Heart University will begin working with the Agency the week of July 6th.

Public Discussion Session

Consent Approvals

#2015-061 – 0 Meadowcroft Lane – Khoa and Jennifer Le – Tax #11-3215

The staff report prepared by Bob Clausi recommended the issuance of a Permit for construction of a new single-family residence, driveway, in-ground pool, septic system, and drainage system.

No one appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 5-0-0.

#2015-070 – 50 Duncan Drive – Stacy Young – Tax #10-2849

The staff report prepared by Doreen Carroll Andrews recommended the issuance of a Permit for chemical treatment of a pond.

No one appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 4-1-0, with Jim Carr voting against the motion.

#2015-071 – 12 Bailiwick Road – Eugenia Janke – Tax #10-2811

The staff report prepared by Doreen Carroll Andrews recommended the issuance of a Permit for chemical treatment of a pond.
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No one appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 4-1-0, with Jim Carr voting against the motion.

#2015-078 – 105 Woodside Drive – Robert Boolbol and Elizabeth Koldyke – Tax #01-1081/s

The staff report prepared by Bob Clausi recommended the issuance of a Permit for construction of residential additions and garage.

No one appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 5-0-0.

Pending Applications

#2015-047 – 550 River Road, Lot 1 – 550 Riverview LLC – Tax #12-1555/s

Bob Clausi reviewed the proposed development and his staff report. In response to previously articulated concerns, a phasing plan was submitted which encompasses the subject parcel as well as the parcels of #2015-48 and 2015-49. In acknowledgement of the co-dependence these three applications share, the discussion included all three properties. Mr. Clausi described the Mass Excavation Plan submitted and concluded it met his expectations. Of particular note, to facilitate the development of lot 3, lots 1 and 2 cannot be developed in advance of lot 3 as the front lots are needed for stockpiling the extensive volume of soil to be excavated from lot 3. Mr. Clausi recommended the issuance of a Permit, with conditions for construction of a new single-family residence, driveway, patio, and drainage system.

Larry Liebman of S.E. Minor and Co., Inc. appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant and offered no objection to the staff comments.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve with conditions proposed by staff was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 5-0-0.

#2015-048 – 550 River Road, Lot 2 – 550 Riverview LLC – Tax #12-1556/s

The staff report prepared by Bob Clausi recommended the issuance of a Permit, with conditions for construction of a new single-family residence, driveway, patio, and drainage system.

Larry Liebman of S.E. Minor and Co., Inc. appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant and offered no objection to the staff comments.

No public comment was received.
A motion to approve with conditions proposed by staff was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 5-0-0.

#2015-049 – 550 River Road, Lot 3 – 550 Riverview LLC – Tax #12-1557/s

The staff report prepared by Bob Clausi recommended the issuance of a Permit for construction of a new single-family residence, driveway, patio, and drainage system.

Larry Liebman of S.E. Minor and Co., Inc. appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant and offered no objection to the staff comments.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve with conditions as recommended by staff was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 5-0-0.

#2015-052 – 1 Grove Lane – Juliet Kilo Holdings 2 – Tax #07-1398/s

Joe Rogers recused himself.

Bob Clausi reviewed the proposed development plan and changes that have been made, including the elimination of the pool previously proposed. In response to Agency concerns, Mr. Clausi recounted three past soil investigations on neighboring parcels, which confirm there is no wetland fringe associated with the off-site watercourse. Meeting the Grade Plan requirements drives some of the grading proposed. Mr. Clausi distributed the zoning handout explaining the Grade Plan and how it is calculated. Further the retaining wall was described as being block-type, to be installed by hand and backfilled from above. To compensate for lost vegetation, Mr. Clausi recommends trees and shrubs be installed in between the tiered walls. Approval of the application for construction of residential additions, patio, deck, and driveway modifications was recommended by staff.

Joseph F. Risoli of Joseph F. Risoli, P.E., LLC appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant. Mr. Risoli agreed to revise the planting plan to include trees and shrubs as proposed by staff and offered no objection to the staff comments.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve with conditions as outlined by staff was made by Stephan Skoufalos, second by Jim Carr. The motion carried 4-0-0.

#2015-053 – 93 Hillcrest Park Road – Jason Boyea – Tax #12-1527/s

Mr. Rogers was reseated.

Doreen Carroll-Andrews described the proposed project and its regulated activities. A total of 400 s.f. of developed area is being added and to compensate, the downspouts will be disconnected to allow stormwater to flow overland and the fill behind the retaining wall will be two tenths of a foot lower than the lip of the wall to promote infiltration of runoff that collects in this area. With these changes, Ms. Carroll-Andrews recommended the issuance of a Permit for construction of residential additions and a retaining wall.
Peter Finkbeiner of Sound View Engineers & Land Surveyors, LLC appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant. Mr. Finkbeiner noted the retaining wall has been moved 100 feet upland of the wetland and several trees can now be preserved. No objections to the staff comments were offered.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve with conditions as submitted by staff was made by Jim Carr, second by Joe Rogers. The motion carried 5-0-0.

#2015-059 – 401 Old Church Road – Greenwich Country Day School – Tax #07-4024/s

Bob Clausi reviewed the modifications to the proposal made since the last meeting. Plantings to the west have been added, the drainage plan has been approved by DPW, and the woodchip path is to be removed and replaced with a boardwalk. Lastly, a debris pile will also be removed. Mr. Clausi recommends the woodchips and debris be removed by November 15, 2015. With these modifications, approval of the application for construction of an addition to a school building was endorsed by staff.

Tony D’Andrea of Rocco V. D’Andrea, Inc. appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant and offered no objection to the staff comments.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve with conditions as recommended by staff was made by Jim Carr, second by Joe Rogers. The motion carried 5-0-0.

New Applications

#2015-063 – 6 Cliffdale Road – Mark and Megan Dowley – Tax #10-1086

Bob Clausi described the proposal to construct a tennis court in excess of 100 feet from the closest wetland. The proposal includes substantial regrading with retaining walls. Upon evaluation of the proposed project it was determined the risk from erosion and subsequent sedimentation warranted regulation of the activity. Mr. Clausi urged the applicant to reinforce the sediment barrier proposed to accommodate the overland flows that likely concentrate through the topographic saddle and the potential for significant movement of soil should something go awry during construction. Staff also called for a planting plan for the area above each retaining wall and details of the surface flow associated with the access road. With the conditions that this additional information be submitted, Mr. Clausi recommended the issuance of a Permit for construction of a tennis court.

Tony D’Andrea of Rocco V. D’Andrea, Inc. appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant. He agreed to submit a planting plan as requested and noted this proposal has been subject to the equivalent of a peer review as the neighbor hired an engineer to safeguard their interests. Mr. D’Andrea expressed confidence in the infiltration system, citing the well-drained soils on-site. No objections to the staff comments were made.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve with conditions as stated by staff was made by Stephan Skoufalos, second by Joe Rogers. The motion carried 5-0-0.
Doreen Carroll Andrews introduced the application and reviewed the nature of the violation and the restoration plan. The area under review consists largely of a wetland with a watercourse running through it and it is identified as a floodplain and floodway by FEMA. The area had reportedly been overrun by non-native invasive species and the owners were looking to improve the area. The restoration plan is a combination of woody species at the perimeter and an herbaceous material to the west of the watercourse and pachysandra to the east. Ms. Carroll Andrews expressed disapproval with the portion of the plan that called for an expansion of the pachysandra and would instead prefer to see native ground cover. Additionally, an invasive species management plan is needed to ensure the success of the restoration plan. Given the nature of the additional information needed, Ms. Carroll Andrews recommended action on the application be delayed.

Blythe Yost of Yost Design LLC appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant. She proposed the mowed path could be defined with a steel edge. Access to the west side can only be made through an opening in the wall along North Street. There is no bridge, although old abutments remain and the property owner would like to rebuild the bridge at some point. Members clarified the presence of a floodway means no structures, including a bridge may be permitted in accordance with federal and local regulations. Ms. Yost acknowledged this constraint and conveyed her clients are still hopeful something can be worked out. No objections to the staff comments were offered.

No public comment was received.

A motion to delay was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 5-0-0.

Bob Clausi began by recounting the history of the subject parcel and the six other adjoining parcels under the same ownership. The bulk of the property is to remain forested, however there is a desire to have a formal entry drive. The previously cited violation addressed the loss of understory alongside Midwood Drive and Indian Spring Road which extended to areas beyond what had been establish with Mr. Clausi and Mark Mariani. A Stop Work Order was issued, as was a citation. The Cease and Correct hearing yielded an application submission date of December 2014. While the citation was paid, the application deadline was not met. A certificate of violation was placed on the land records. Subsequent to this violation, Mr. Clausi and Mr. Mariani discussed planting large maple trees in an alley up the road and driveway. Mr. Clausi authorized the planting outside of the 100 foot upland review area only, noting work within the upland review area would be reviewed as part of a corrective action application. Again, work extended beyond the stated parameters in both scope and area.

The submitted application included a restoration plan for the understory plants removed with the first violation. However, the elements of the new violation were only included on a newly submitted site plan. The new violation consists of construction of retaining walls adjacent to two distinct wetland areas, removal of herbaceous growth alongside of three wetland areas, deposition of fill and sod alongside three wetland areas, installation of yard drains which discharge in or near a wetland, and inadequate erosion and sedimentation controls that led to sediment deposition in two wetlands on-site and one off-site pond. Mr. Clausi encouraged Agency members to evaluate the plan as if the work had not yet been completed. Would the work have been approved anyway? Given the amount of outstanding issues, Mr. Clausi recommended the Agency delay action on the application.
William Kenny of William Kenny Associates, LLC appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant. He confirmed the description of activities as stated by Mr. Clausi was accurate and he and other consultants are working quickly to satisfy the request for additional information. The work on the driveway is part of an overall property plan, which includes a forestry management plan for several undeveloped lots. The Agency reminded Mr. Kenny the forestry management plan must come before the agency to verify it is an as-of-right activity.

Mr. Skoufalos questioned the repetitive nature of the violations to which Mr. Kenny acknowledged there was no justification for it. He reasoned the eagerness of his client has led to imprudent decisions. Similarly, Mr. Benton’s questions regarding a lack of erosion and sedimentation controls was met with the same lack of justification.

Mr. Carr pursued a line of questioning trying to determine the contractors and/or consultants who are part of the decision making process. Mr. Kenny indicated he joined the consulting team a year or so ago and he is one of many consultants and has limited involvement on the property as a whole. Chris Pollack was identified as the person as having oversight of the property’s projects and Mark Mariani was the contractor who implemented the work under discussion. The Agency reinforced the wording of the regulations which state any person involved in conducting or authorizing the work is subject to enforcement action. The members expressed a desire to be sure the property manager and contractor are cited in all violations.

Mr. Stoufalos sought an explanation regarding the purpose of the retaining walls. Mr. Kenny explained they were needed to retain the volume of soil necessary to accommodate the large caliper trees and avoid deposition of fill in the adjacent wetlands. The larger retaining wall was reportedly a restacking and expansion of an existing wall. Mr. Clausi characterized the adjacent wetland as being a higher valued wetland and it has been adversely impacted from the unauthorized activities. Mrs. Sesto added her concerns regarding the integrity of the wall. It appears no filter fabric was installed behind the wall before it was backfilled. Sediment is visibly migrating through the wall and at least one sink hole has developed atop the wall.

Mr. Clausi expressed dissatisfaction with the wall and sod. The wall appears to be failing and the long term management of sod, which is expected to include pesticides and fertilizer, are both detrimental to the adjoining wetlands. Members indicated more information is needed.

Mr. Benton raised the consideration of fines, who is eligible for fines, and how is the amount calculated. There is an ordinance to govern fines and staff requested time to review the file and the ordinance and come back the Agency with a recommendation. The Agency directed staff to treat each element of the violation as a distinct offense in accordance with the ordinance and cite all responsible parties.

Mr. Stoufalos made a motion to delay action on the application pending receipt of additional information. Questions ensued regarding next steps. Mr. Stoufalos withdrew his motion.

Mr. Benton assigned this project to Mrs. Sesto, who will facilitate a site meeting to establish clear direction on what is needed to remedy the violation.

No public comment was received.

Mr. Stoufalos made a motion to delay action pending the outcome of the site meeting and the submission of additional information, second by Mr. Rogers, and carried 5-0-0.
Mr. Clausi introduced the project and provided a background on the existing conditions. The wetland to the east is a high quality wetland with a robust floral community. Of note, the wetland is relatively free of invasive species, something that is uncommon. The site plan proposed to disturb a large area of drumlin soils, which are known to be highly erodible, and the erosion and sedimentation control plan should be enhanced to better assure protection of the wetland. Mr. Clausi speculated on the potential presence of field drains. Should they exist, sediment laden water can enter those drains and then discharge directly to the wetland. Given the scope of additional information needed, staff recommended action on the permit application for construction of a new single-family residence, driveway, in-ground pool, terraces, septic system, and drainage system be delayed.

Larry Liebman of S.E. Minor & Co., Inc. appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant. He countered Mr. Clausi’s theory regarding possible underdrains, stating numerous professionals have been all over the parcel, in part to look for drains. None were found. Regardless, a note will be added to this plan and all others submitted by S.E. Minor stating if drains are located after the issuance of a permit, the office of the Agency will be notified and the pipes will be disabled.

Mr. Liebman explained the development activity will begin to the east and work its way up to allow for soil stabilization closest to the wetland first. A stone berm was offered to address the request for enhanced E&S controls and the state’s Natural Resource Data Base has been notified of the proposed project. In response to member questions, Mr. Liebman explained the amount of fill required for the leaching fields is a function of the hardpan layer and the need to separate the bottom of the trench from this. Despite this fill, no land disturbance is proposed within 100 feet of the wetland except for the dry basin.

Mrs. Sesto questioned the location of the dry basin, citing her concerns that disrupting the soil will provide an opportunity for non-native invasive species to take hold and undermine the value of the upland review area and the wetland. Mr. Liebman explained how the town’s Drainage Manual drove the stormwater management plan and the inability to locate the dry basin further uphill. It was concluded the basin will be reseeded with a custom seed mix comprised of the species in the surrounding meadow. No other objections to the staff comments were offered.

No public comment was received.

A motion to delay action on the application was made by Joe Rogers, second by Jim Carr. The motion carried 5-0-0.

Ms. Carroll-Andrews opened the description of the proposal by reminding the Agency the application stems from a violation. The violation warranted a Stop Work Order and a Cease and Correct. The regulated activity consists of the installation of underdrains to manage an area of the golf course that stays wet from prolonged periods. The underdrains will discharge to a level spreader at a stone wall in close proximity to a stream. Ms. Carroll-Andrews concluded the activity did not pose a risk to the stream and recommended the issuance of a Permit for construction of drainage improvements.

Tony D’Andrea of Rocco V. D’Andrea, Inc. appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant and offered no objection to the staff comments.
No public comment was received.

A motion to approve with conditions as proposed by staff was made by Stephan Skoufalos, second by Joe Rogers. The motion carried 5-0-0.

#2015-077 – 186 Bible Street – Joel Smernoff – Tax #08-3623

Bob Clausi reviewed the history of the site. In 2005 a permit was issued for a more intense redevelopment of the site. The present application proposes less impervious cover and the pool is no longer included. The existing house and driveway will be removed and create the opportunity to enhance the first 50 feet adjacent to the wetland. The redevelopment will occur substantially farther from the wetland than the existing house. The staff report prepared by Bob Clausi recommended conditions and the issuance of a Permit for construction of a new single-family residence, driveway, patio, and septic system.

Peter Finkbeiner of Sound View Engineers & Land Surveyors appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant. Mr. Finkbeiner explained the town’s drainage standards make it impossible to implement the site plan approved back in 2005. The redevelopment proposal also improves site conditions by eliminating structures from the flood zone and restoring grades in the area of the demolished house and driveway to match the adjoining areas. Mr. Finkbeiner agreed to submit a planting plan to restore a 50 foot buffer as a condition of approval. No objections to the staff comments were offered.

No public comment was received.

A motion to approve with conditions as proposed by staff was made by Jim Carr, second by Elliot Benton. The motion carried 5-0-0.

#2015-079 – 35 Mooreland Road – SP Greenwich Mooreland, LLC – Tax #10-2314 - DELAY

Mr. Clausi reviewed the project and his staff report. His comments for this parcel are effectively the same as previously stated with Application #2015-75. Mr. Clausi recommended action on the application for a Permit to construct of a new single-family residence, driveway, in-ground pool, terraces, septic system, and drainage system be delayed to allow for more information to be submitted.

Larry Liebman of S.E. Minor & Co., Inc. appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant. He stated his comments for #2015-75 also apply to this application and offered no objection to the staff comments.

Mr. Clausi requested the applicant investigate the feasibility of shifting the house to the west in order to reduce the amount of regrading the current siting requires. Mrs. Sesto requested the applicant propose a means to physically and visibly mark the limit of lawn to facilitate preservation of the meadow with subsequent homeowners. Mr. Liebman agreed to look into both requests.

No public comment was received.

A motion to delay action on the application was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 5-0-0.
Violations

Cease & Correct Order #2015-10 – 6 Meadowcroft Lane – Maison Six, LLC – Tax #11-1515

Doreen Carroll Andrews read the evidentiary documents into the record and reviewed Daniel Berheide’s Violation Summary. Geothermal wells were being installed on-site and the clearing of vegetation went well beyond the boundaries of that project. The unauthorized activities include removal of vegetation within a wetland, wetland buffer, and upland areas. Wetland flagging was present on-site and provides confirmation the work constitutes a regulated activity. In total, approximately one third of an acre has been cleared and the use of a bobcat skidder caused damage in this area. Staff recommended the Agency maintain Cease & Correct Order #2015-10 and that a Certificate of Violation be filed on the Land Records if an application to address the violation is not received by July 6, 2015.

Thomas Wyler, property manager, appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant. He expressed the intent to cooperate in correcting the violation and submit his application by July 6, 2015.

A motion to uphold the Order with staff recommendations, including an application deadline of July 6, 2015 was made by Joe Rogers, second by Stephan Skoufalos. The motion carried 5-0-0.

Cease & Correct Order #2015-11 – 7 Grove Street – Gabriela Wis – Tax #09-2931/s

Doreen Carroll Andrews read the evidentiary documents into the record and reviewed the Violation Summary, which describes the unauthorized stacking of tree logs and limbs along Strickland Brook’s bank, creating a fence barrier. The barrier is roughly 2’X4’X60’ and is within the floodway of the watercourse. Staff recommended that the Agency maintain Cease & Correct Order #2015-11 and that a Certificate of Violation be filed on the Land Records if an application to address the violation is not received by July 22, 2015.

Gabriela Wis, property owner, appeared before the Agency. She explained the barrier came about after two trees had fallen. Her property has been flooded out twice and she is trying to protect it. Ms. Wis presented photos to substantiate the barrier has not encroached on the brook, it simply sits atop the bank. Further, hers is not the only property with modifications made to fend off flood waters and photos to support this were shown to the Agency.

Mr. Benton stated his understanding of Ms. Wis’ desire to protect her property; however the wood debris barrier would create hazards downstream as it is washed away by floodwaters. He offered to investigate the other properties photographed by Ms. Wis if she would provide the addresses. Ms. Wis declined.

After further discussion regarding flood hazards and federal and local laws pertaining to structures in the floodway, Ms. Wis conceded and agreed to remove the barrier. She requested that she be given until July 29, 2015 to remove the barrier.

A motion to uphold the Order with staff recommendations and set a deadline to remove the barrier by July 29, 2015 was made by Stephan Skoufalos, second by Joe Rogers. The motion carried 5-0-0.
Cease & Correct Order #2015-12 – 13 Center Drive – Pierre-Andre Liduena and Liza Dorsey – Tax #06-2279/s

Doreen Carroll-Andrews read the evidentiary documents into the record and reviewed the Violation Summary, which describes failure to install restoration plantings by the required deadline. Staff recommended that the Agency maintain Cease & Correct Order #2015-12 and that a Certificate of Violation be filed on the Land Records if the plantings are not installed by September 30, 2015.

No one appeared before the Agency on behalf of the property owners.

A motion to uphold the Order with staff recommendations and a deadline to complete the installation of the plantings of September 30, 2015 was made by Joe Rogers, second by Jim Carr. The motion carried 5-0-0.

Cease & Correct Order # 2015-13 – 656 River Road – Paul Blandori – Tax #12-1561/s

Doreen Carroll Andrews read the evidentiary documents into the record and reviewed Marisa Anastasio’s Violation Summary, which describes the unauthorized removal of vegetation, filling and piping of regulated wetlands and watercourses. In response to Mr. Benton’s question, Ms. Carroll-Andrews conveyed the landowner has been cooperative.

Staff recommended that the Agency maintain Cease & Correct Order #2015-13 and that a Certificate of Violation be filed on the Land Records if an application to address the violation is not received by July 20, 2015.

No one appeared before the Agency on behalf of the applicant.

A motion to uphold the Order with staff recommendations and an application deadline of July 20, 2015 was made by Joe Rogers, second by Jim Carr. The motion carried 5-0-0.

Bond Releases

Application #2003-206 – Permit #2003-176 for Wayne Jervis – 59 Cat Rock Road. The $4,000 bond is to be returned to Patricia TooGood, Trustee.

Application #2005-200 – Permit #2006-013 for Dibico Construction of CT – 85 Richmond Hill Road (Lot B). The $4,000 bond is to be returned to Dibico Construction of CT.

Application #2010-149 – Permit #2010-133 for David J. and Amy H. Spurlock – 8 Patricia Lane. The $3,000 bond is to be returned to David J. and Amy H. Spurlock.

Application #2011-030 – Permit #2011-035 for William and Nancy Zisson – 7 Stoney Wylde Lane. The $6,000 bond is to be returned to William and Nancy Zisson.

Application #2011-136 – Permit #2012-018 for Julio DiBiase – 47 Bowman Drive. The $3,000 bond is to be returned to Dibico Construction of CT, Inc.

Application #2012-018 – Permit #2012-030 / Conceptual Approval #2012-002 for Kaye & Hennessey, LLC – 747 Lake Avenue. The $12,000 bond is to be returned to KNF Lake Ave, LLC Lot 1.
Application #2012-134 – Permit #2013-009 for Hilary Bernard – 38 Cary Road. The $3,000 bond is to be returned to Hilary Lea Bernard.

Application #2013-016 – Permit #2013-036 for CPP 10 Meadowbank Road, LLC – 10 Meadowbank Road. The $6,000 bond is to be returned to CPP 10 Meadowbank Road, LLC.

Application #2013-046 – Permit #2013-055 for Serena and Robert Perlman – 8 Beechcroft Road. The $2,000 bond is to be returned to Serena and Robert Perlman.

Application #2014-161 – Permit #2015-010 for Galdino and Maria Claro – 27 Rustic View Road. The $3,000 bond is to be returned to Galdino and Maria Claro.

A motion to release the bonds was made by Stephan Skoufalos, second by Joe Rogers. The motion carried 5-0-0.

The meeting adjourned at 9:31 p.m.

Patricia Sesto
Director